IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8938 of 2005

Between:

Devulapalli Venkata Ramanmma W/o late Satyanarayana
R/o Brahmana Agraharam, Annavaram, East Godavari District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep by Secretary, Endowments Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 Commissioner of Endowments, Government of A.P., Hyderabad
3 Executive Officer, Sri V. V. S.S. Devasthanam Annavaram, East Godavari District

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not granting pension to the petitioner's husband during his life time, and after his life time, not fixing and paying the family pension to the widow of the deceased employee, who retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation, is illegal, arbitrary, violative of principles of natural justice and offends the fundamental rights guaranteed under the constitution of India, consequently direct the respondents to pay all the arrears due to the petitioner's husband and other pensionary benefits which he is eligible and fix the family pension to the petitioner herein and pay all the arrears to the petitioners herein forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.BATHULA RAJ KIRAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

The petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not granting pension to the petitioner's husband during his life time and in not fixing and paying the same to the widow of deceased employee as illegal and arbitrary.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Endowments.

Appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondents in accordance with law. It seems that the petitioner made a representation dated 04.01.2005 to the third respondent. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing the third respondent to take appropriate decision on the representation made by the petitioner dated 04.01.2005 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

_____

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:21st April, 2005

usd

To

1 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Endowments Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Government of A.P., Hyderabad
3 The Executive Officer, Sri V. V. S.S. Devasthanam Annavaram, East Godavari District

4 2 CD copies

5 1 CC to MR.BATHULA RAJ KIRAN

6 Two CCs to G.P. for Endowments, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT).

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**TRT**}*